Website Evaluation Form

Instructions for use:
This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.
Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated:_ http://franktalerico.neocities.org/home.html___

2. Author of site evaluated: ___Frank Talerico, Jr._____

3. Evaluator's first name:____Jenell_____

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM): MAC Laptop Computer running Mac OS X

2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0): Safari 9.3.1

3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional): Corporate DSL

III Design and Development

Instruction: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
DESIGN					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback: Everything is presented really nicely and there is a navigation menu at the top with links to make everything easy to get to.

2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback: The colors nicely go together and are easy to look at. All of the spacing is done well and not uncluttered at all.

3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback: Navigation is very easy to understand and I like how the navigation menu is at the top and bottom of each page. My only suggestion is to somehow create more separation between the links so people can see them easier.

4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback: The homepage is linked on all the other pages as well as links to every page.

5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.	1	2	**3**	4	5

Please explain your feedback: There are no multimedia elements.

6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download.	1	2	**3**	4	5

Please explain your feedback: There are no multimedia elements.

7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large.	1	2	**3**	4	5

Please explain your feedback: There are no images.

8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback: Text is very easy to read and contrasts with the background.

9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback: Site is very accessible and appropriate.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree

CONTENT

1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.   1   2   3   4   **5**

Please explain your feedback: All the page titles are clear and appropriate.

2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.   1   2   3   4   **5**

Please explain your feedback: All the links are appropriate and have a definite purpose.

3. Links are up-to-date and functional.   1   2   3   4   **5**
Please explain your feedback: All links are up-to-date and functional.

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.   1   2   3   4   **5**
Please explain your feedback: All useful content is within two layers of the website.

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.   1   2   3   **4**   5
Please explain your feedback: The website contains lots of good information, but it all seems to be coming from one or two sources.

6. Information is accurate and current.   1   2   3   4   **5**

Please explain your feedback: Information all appears to be accurate and current.

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.   1   2   3   4   **5**

Please explain your feedback: The site serves a useful purpose for parents with students on an IEP.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree

CREDIBILITY

1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback: The site always mentions where information has been taken from in the content of the site.

2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback: Contact email is listed on the bottom of each page.

3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback: This site is bias-free.

4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback: There appears to be no copyright infringement.

5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback: There appears to be no errors.

6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback: It clearly says when the site has been created on each page.